Rush Creek Task Force (CCPG)

May 25, 2017

1. Introduction

See Attendance list

2. Anti-trust Reminder

❖ Patrick reviewed the anti-trust guidelines with the group. The guidelines are attached to the meeting agenda.

3. Approve Meeting Notes

a. April 27, 2017 Meeting

- ❖ Patrick sent the draft notes on May 9, 2017
- One comment was made by Chris Neil and Patrick sent out updated draft notes on May 24, 2017.
- No further corrections were provided at this meeting.
- Motion was made and seconded to approve the notes.

Discussion: None

None

Vote: no objections

➤ April 27, 2017 meeting notes were approved.

4. Action Item Review

Reviewed the action items from the April 27, 2017 meeting:

Item	Action	Status
1	Draft Benefits Language	Ongoing; noted that report will indicate that the primary benefits are the injection levels studied. Costs will be the capital costs of alternatives.
2	Update power flow results with new alternatives	Discuss Today
3	Transient stability analysis	Started. Working on model development.
4	Cost estimates	Started. PSCo Project Management has begun preparing estimates. Some costs may be available at the next meeting
5	Summarize results with narrative	Ongoing.

5. Review Updated Power Flow Study Results

a. Alt 5a

- ❖ Alternative 5 includes new transmission from RCII to Burlington and RCI to Big Sandy.
- ❖ Alt 5a expands Alt 5 by adding a Big Sandy Story 345 kV line.
 - ➤ If N. Yuma Red Willow 115 kV, Leetsdale Monroe, and Leetsdale Monaco 230 kV underground line limitations are resolved, the injection capability increases from 1150 to 2000 MW.
 - The limiting condition becomes the new RCI to Big Sandy 345 kV line rating.

b. Alt 5b

- ❖ Alt 5b is the same as 5a, except for a RCI to Daniels Park 345 kV line.
 - ➤ If N. Yuma Red Willow 115 kV, Leetsdale Monroe, and Leetsdale Monaco 230 kV underground line limitations are resolved, the injection capability for Alt 5b increases from 1150 to 1550 MW.
 - ➤ The limiting element becomes the Daniels Park Santa Fe 230 kV line.
 - ➤ Chris Neil asked about how the power flowed from Story in Alternatives 5a and 5b, believing the power from Rush Creek was sent all the way to Laramie River Station and back to Ault Substation. PSCO and Tri-State indicated some power may flow on the 230 kV line from Story to Henry Lake as well as the 230 kV line from Pawnee to Fort Lupton. PSCo will confirm the power flow.

c. Pawnee – Story 345 kV Sensitivity

- ❖ The benchmark with the Pawnee Story 230 kV as the limiting condition was used for the study. The intent was to determine if the addition of a Pawnee − Story 345 kV line would result in adverse impacts to the Tri-State system east of Story.
- Results indicated that there were no adverse impacts to the Tri-State system.
- Updated power flow results are shown in Attachment A

6. Transient Stability Studies

- Patrick has started developing the models.
- Studies will focus on the benchmark case and some select alternatives to verify that the system is transiently stable.
- Results should be available by the next meeting.

7. Stakeholder Comments

- ❖ Chris Neil insisted the RCTF perform a study of new transmission between the Green Valley Substation and the Pawnee − Missile Site 345 kV lines. The purpose would be to try to improve injection capability at Rush Creek, Missile Site and Pawnee.
 - ➤ PSCo indicated that this was not an alternative to integrate the Rush Creek Gen-tie and was outside the scope of the RCTFNo other stakeholder indicated support for Chris Neil's suggestion, so that study will not be performed by RCTF.
- Chris Neil indicated that the RCTF should evaluating improvements to the Denver-Metro Area system because of Denver-Metro Area limitations seen in many alternatives. Chris Neil said that these Denver-Metro Area upgrades should be considered if they can increase injection capability at low cost.
 - ➤ Chris Neil pointed to the Cherokee-Arvada-Russel-Ridge line that was recently converted from 115 kV to 230 kV cost of \$4.7 million. PSCo said that was not a valid comparison since the Cherokee − Ridge project was pre-built double-circuit, 230 kV capable. Many of the Denver-metro issues could not be resolved without more expensive mitigation, such as underground lines and rebuilding of overhead lines.
 - ➤ PSCo pointed out that the limitations in the Denver-Metro area are due in part to the heavy Rush Creek dispatch, and that the focus should be on higher level transmission issues, rather than local issues that result from high generation injection.
 - Mark Detsky indicated that the RCTF may not need cost estimates for all of the alternatives. Also felt that cost estimate methodology should be consistent with that used for the Rush Creek Gen-tie.
 - ➤ PSCo will begin compiling the cost information and RCTF can discuss what estimates to include at the next meeting.

8. Action Items

Item	Action	Resp
1	Draft Benefits Language	PSCo
2	Transient stability analysis	PSCo
3	Cost estimates	PSCo
4	Summarize results with narrative	PSCo
5	Review power flow in Alts 5a and 5b	PSCo

9. Next Meeting

❖ June 22, 2017; 1:00 PM

10. Attendees List

Rush Creek Task Force								
LastName	FirstName	Company/Org	Email	5/25/2017				
Arnold	Paul	Power Engineers	paul.arnold@powereng.com	on picts				
Atkinson	Sean	IREA	SAlkinson@ires.Coop	Phone				
Audette Muniz	Jessie	Apex Clean Energy	jessie audette@apexcleanenergy.com					
Breihan	David	IREA	dbrelhan@irea.coop	e-cog				
Brownrigg	Jeremy	PRPs	brownriggi@prpa.org	Phone P.C.				
Caldara	Paul	Colorado PUC	paul.caidara@state.co.us	P.C.				
Caldwell	Scott	Alterra Power USA Corp	scaldwell@alterrapower.ca					
Carlson	Shawn	Basin	scarlson@bepc.com					
Cichosz	Jon	Black Hills	ion.cichosz@blackhillscorp.com	x				
Corrigan	Patrick	Xcel Energy	patrick.m.corrigan@xcelenergy.com	The case				
Crawford	Rich	Midwest Wind Resources	midwestwind1@gmail.com					
Crosby	Missy	Xcel Energy	Melissa.M.Crosby@xcelenergy.com					
Dang	Dang	S-Power	ddang@spower.com					
Degutis	Erin	Xoel Energy	Erin A. Degutis@xcelenergy.com	-en				
Detsky	Mark	Dietze & Davis	mdetsky@dietzedavis.com	Ta				
Easton	Robert	Western	aeaston@wapa.goy					
Feuerstein	Pam	IREA	PFeuerstein@frea.Coop					
Foltz	Adam	S-Power	afoltz@spower.com					
Gearhart	Roy	Western	rgearhar@wapa.gov					
Ghoshai	Orijit	Invenergy	OGhoshal@invenergylic.com	Phone				
Green	Tom	Xcel Energy	thomas.green@excelenrgy.com	76				
Greene	Lynn	Lucky Corridor	lynn@luckycorridor.com	1.0				
Hickey	Lisa	Interwest Energy Alliance	LisaHickey@newlawproup.com					
Himing	Jim	Western	Hirning@WAPA.GOV	Phase				
Hubbard	100000	Tri-State		Phone				
SHANGE.	Ryan		mubbaro@tristategt.org	MONE				
Jammalamadaka Jurgemeyer	Swaraj Mark	Apex Clean Energy IREA	swarai jammalamadaka@pexcleanenergy.com MJurgemever@irea.Coop					
Leuchtmann	Greg	Invenergy	GLeuchtmann@invenergylic.com					
Lovejoy	Susan	CSU						
00000000	222222	773	slovejov@csu.org bettv.mirzsvi@xcelenergv.com	7 - 1				
Mirzayi	Betty	Xoel Energy		Sun 3XX				
Nell	Chris	Office of Consumer Council	chris.neti@state.co.us	-0,00				
Paoletti	Connie	Xcel Energy	connie psoletti@xcelenergy.com	oq				
Parisch	Puneet	Buckyball Systems	pasrich@buckyballsystems.com					
Peters	Nathan	Western	npeters@WAPA.GQV					
Pink	Chris	Tri-State	cpink@tristategt.org					
Rein	Mike	Xcel Energy	Michael A, Rein@xcelenergy.com	While 1/2				
Singh	Had	Xcel Energy	Harf.singh@xcelenergy.com	Havi Singh				
Stegall	Lindsey	Colorado Energy Office	lindsey.stegall@state.co.us	1./				
Sydnor	Marc	Apex Clean Energy	marc.sydnor@apexcleanenergy.com	1/				
Tauber	Sage	Xcel Energy	Tauber, Sage (sage tauber@xcelenergy.com)	NATO				
Taylor	Joe	Xcel Energy	joseph.c.taylor@xcelenergy.com					
Taylor	Blane	Tri-State	btaylor@tristategt.org					
Wedower	Lindsey	Colorado Energy Office	lindsey.wedewer@state.co.us					
Wendling	Warren	Wendling Consulting	w.l.wendling@q.com					
Wingen	Wes	Black Hills	Wes.Wingen@blackhillscorp.com					
Worley	Chris	Colorado Energy Office	chris worley@state.co.us	14 == 2				

Kasdorf Stephen Xcel Energy Stephen, R. Kasdorf Oxcelenorgy.com Stat Kinff

11. Attachment A – Updated power flow results

Rush Creek Task Force

Alternative Analysis 5/25/2017

Power Flow Results - Heavy Summer 2026

Alternative	Alternative Description	Incremental Injection Capability (MW)	Total Injection Capability (MW)	Limiting Element	Owner	Limiting Rating	Limiting Contingency
							Daniels Park-
Alt 0	Benchmark	550	1150	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
Ait 0	Deficilitation						Missile Site-
		850	1450	Pawnee-Story 230 kV	PSCo	576 MVA	Smoky Hill 345 kV
	RCII - Burlington	100	700	Burlington-Bonny Creek 115 kV	TSGT	145 MVA	Missila Sita DCI
Alt 1		200	800	Burlington-Big Sandy 230 kV	TSGT	274 MVA	Missile Site-RCI - 345 kV
		250	850	Wray-N Yuma 230 kV	TSGT	287 MVA	
	RCI - Big Sandy	100	700	Big Sandy-Last Chance 115 kV	WAPA	109 MVA	Missile Site-RCI 345 kV
Alt 2		250	850	Last Chance-Beaver Creek 115 kV	WAPA	109 MVA	
		250	850	Burlington-Big Sandy 230 kV	TSGT	274 MVA	343 KV
							Missile Site-RCI
Alt 3	RCII - Limon gen	-250	350	Missile Site-Limon I 345 kV	Other	810 MVA	345 kV
							Daniels Park-
Alt 4	Missile - RCI - RCII #2	550	1150	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
AIL 4	IVIISSIIE - RCI - RCII #2						Missile Site-
		850	1450	Pawnee-Story 230 kV	PSCo	576 MVA	Smoky Hill 345 kV
Alt 5	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Big	350	950	Big Sandy-Last Chance 115 kV	WAPA	109 MVA	Missile Site-RCI

	Sandy	500	1100	Burlington-Bonny Creek 115 kV	TSGT	145 MVA	345 kV
		550	1150	Lincoln-Midway 230 kV	TSGT	637 MVA	
							Wray-Sandhill
		600	1200	N Yuma-Red Willow 115 kV	TSGT	79 MVA	115 kV
Alt 5a	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Big						Daniels Park-
AIL 5d	Sandy-Story	900	1500	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
							Missile Site-RCI
		1400	2000	RCI-Big Sandy 345 kV	PSCo	1637 MVA	345 kV
							Daniels Park-
		650	1250	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
Alt 5b	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Big						Wray-Sandhill
AIL 30	Sandy-Story, RCI-Daniels Park	800	1400	N Yuma-Red Willow 115 kV	TSGT	79 MVA	115 kV
							Greenwood-
		950	1550	Daniels Park-Santa Fe 230 kV	PSCo	319 MVA	Monaco 230 kV
	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Limon						Missile Site-RCI
Alt 6	gen	-100	500	Missile Site-Limon I 345 kV	Other	810 MVA	345 kV
	RCII - Burlington, RCII-Limon						Missile Site-RCI
Alt 7	gen	-100	500	Missile Site-Limon I 345 kV	Other	810 MVA	345 kV
							Daniels Park-
	RCI - Daniels Park, RCII- Burlington	550	1150	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
Alt 8							Wray-Sandhill
AILO		750	1350	N Yuma-Red Willow 115 kV	TSGT	79 MVA	115 kV
							Greenwood-
		800	1400	Daniels Park-Santa Fe 230 kV	PSCo	319 MVA	Monaco 230 kV
							Daniels Park-
Alt 9	RCI - Daniels Park, RCI-RCII	550	1150	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
711.7							Greenwood-
		750	1350	Daniels Park-Santa Fe 230 kV	PSCo	319 MVA	Monaco 230 kV
	RCI - Daniels Park, RCI-RCII, Waterton Loop						Daniels Park-
Alt 9a		600	1200	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
הונ פמ		850	1450				Greenwood-
		630	1430	Daniels Park-Santa Fe 230 kV	PSCo	319 MVA	Monaco 230 kV

Power Flow Results - Light Spring 2026

Alternative	Alternative Description	Incremental Injection Capability (MW)	Total Injection Capability (MW)	Limiting Element	Owner	Limiting Rating	Limiting Contingency
		350	050	Daving a Share 220 lay	DCC-	F7C NAVA	Missile Site-
		250	850	Pawnee-Story 230 kV	PSCo	576 MVA	Smoky Hill 345 kV
Alt 0	Benchmark	750	1350	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Daniels Park- Arapahoe 230 kV
							Pawnee-Story
		750	1350	Pawnee-Story 230 kV	PSCo	576 MVA	345 kV
		150	750	Burlington-Bonny Creek 115 kV	TSGT	145 MVA	Missile City DCI
Alt 1	RCII - Burlington	200	800	Burlington-Big Sandy 230 kV	TSGT	274 MVA	Missile Site-RCI 345 kV
		200	800	Bonny Creek-South Fork 115 kV	TSGT	147 MVA	345 KV
	RCI - Big Sandy	100	700	Big Sandy-Last Chance 115 kV	WAPA	109 MVA	Missile Site-RCI 345 kV
Alt 2		150	750	Last Chance-Beaver Creek 115 kV	WAPA	109 MVA	
		300	900	Burlington-Big Sandy 230 kV	TSGT	274 MVA	
Alt 3	RCII - Limon gen	-250	350	Missile Site-Limon I 345 kV	Other	810 MVA	Missile Site-RCI 345 kV
Alt 4	Missile - RCI - RCII #2	250	850	Pawnee-Story	PSCo	576 MVA	Missile Site- Smoky Hill 345 kV
AIL 4	IVIISSIIE - RCI - RCII #2	750	1350	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Daniels Park- Arapahoe 230 kV
	DCII Davilia et e a DCI Die	250	850	Last Chance-Beaver Creek 115 kV	WAPA	109 MVA	Missile Cite DCI
Alt 5	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Big Sandy	300	900	Big Sandy-Last Chance 115 kV	WAPA	109 MVA	Missile Site-RCI 345 kV
		550	1150	Lincoln-Midway 230 kV	TSGT	637 MVA	
	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Big Sandy-Story	1050	1650	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Daniels Park-
Alt 5a		1030	1030	Leetsudie-Monitoe 250 kV OG	P3C0	390 IVIVA	Arapahoe 230 kV Daniels Park-
		1400	2000	Elati-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
Alt 5b	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Big	850	1450	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Daniels Park-

	Sandy-Story, RCI-Daniels Park						Arapahoe 230 kV
							Daniels Park-
		1000	1600	Elati-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
	RCII - Burlington, RCI-Limon						Missile Site-RCI
Alt 6	gen	-100	500	Missile Site-Limon I 345 kV	Other	810 MVA	345 kV
	RCII - Burlington, RCII-Limon						Missile Site-RCI
Alt 7	gen	-100	500	Missile Site-Limon I 345 kV	Other	810 MVA	345 kV
							Daniels Park-
Alt 8	RCI - Daniels Park, RCII- Burlington	650	1250	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
AILO							Missile Site-
		850	1450	Pawnee-Story 230 kV	PSCo	576 MVA	Smoky Hill 345 kV
							Daniels Park-
Alt 9	RCI - Daniels Park, RCI-RCII	600	1200	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV
AIL 9							Missile Site-
		600	1200	Pawnee-Story 230 kV	PSCo	576 MVA	Smoky Hill 345 kV
							Missile Site-
Alt 9a	RCI - Daniels Park, RCI-RCII, Waterton Loop	650	1250	Pawnee-Story 230 kV	PSCo	576 MVA	Smoky Hill 345 kV
		700	1200				Daniels Park-
		700	1300	Leetsdale-Monroe 230 kV UG	PSCo	398 MVA	Arapahoe 230 kV