

2015 Regional Transmission Plan

Stakeholder Comments and WestConnect PMC Responses

Comment and Response Summary

ID	Page# /Line #	Comment	Response
TCYN	TransCanyon comments submitted on 11/30/15		
TCYN-1	1/11	Suggest adding no projects “in addition to projects in the base plan” were selected	<p>The suggestion was incorporated consistent with the remarks in the comment, and was edited to read as follows:</p> <p><i>Thus, alternatives to meet regional needs were not solicited and no additional projects, aside from those projects identified in the 2024 Regional Base Transmission Plan, were selected into the 2015 Regional Transmission Plan.</i></p>
TCYN-2	3/24	Suggest replacing Needs with “Plans”. WestConnect is not documenting the needs assessment of local TOs, just the planned projects. Also conflicts with concept of local transmission needs assessed by WestConnect in 1.3 3 d.	Agree. The suggestion has been incorporated.
TCYN-3	3/31	Did WestConnect test N-0 for violations? If so, should state this in addition to N-1 analysis.	Yes, N-0 was included and it has been stated as such in the document.
TCYN-4	5/11	The rest of Section 2.0 should be replaced with “Sections 2.1 through 2.6”	Agree. The suggestion has been incorporated.

ID	Page# /Line #	Comment	Response
TCYN-5	11/1	Did WestConnect test N-0 for violations? If so, should state this in addition to N-1 analysis.	Yes, N-0 was included and it has been stated as such in the document
TCYN-6	14/30	Did WestConnect test N-0 for violations? If so, should state this in addition to N-1 analysis.	Yes, N-0 was included and it has been stated as such in the document
TCYN-7	18/14	Suggest some summary of the congestion results from the 2024 Common Case be included in the RTP Report.	Thank you for the suggestion. In drafting the report, the Planning Subcommittee elected not to include any quantitative congestion results from the TEPPC case on account of not having vetted all congestion issues (and not wanting to mislead stakeholders by the appearance of any misleading/fictitious congestion) during the shortened abbreviated cycle, where analysis time was limited. In future planning cycles, there will be a much more robust congestion analysis that will be covered in detail in the report.
TCYN-8	20/10	Suggest replacing iteration with something that makes more sense.	Agree. Replaced “This iteration does” with “The WestConnect power flow models do”.
TCYN-9	6/5	Suggest conforming list of members to be consistent with the draft 2015 WestConnect Regional Study Plan.	Suggestion was incorporated to streamline document and include Black Forest Partners as PMC member.
END OF COMMENTS			